



LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/619,975 07/15/2003 Byron Vencent Bell 2001-0699.07 7828 21972 **EXAMINER** 7590 04/16/2004 LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. BROOKE, MICHAEL S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT ART UNIT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD PAPER NUMBER BLDG. 082-1 2853

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			γ
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,975	BELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael S. Brooke	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received. s have been received in Ap	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/09/03</u> .	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 21, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al. (4,567,493) in view of Yaegashi et al. (5,270,370).

Ikeda et al. teaches an in ink jet print head comprising:

- A housing (Fig. 6) having a heater chip (211) formed thereon. The housing comprises a supply of ink (308) and the heater chip has an ink via (305) in fluidic access with the ink supply.
- A substrate (205).
- A thermal barrier layer (206) made of SiO₂ and having a thickness of 5 microns (col. 7:48-49).
- A resistor layer (207) having a width, a thickness and a length, wherein the resistor is made of HfB₂ (col. 6:6-51) and has a thickness of 10 angstroms (col. 6:67-68).
- A conductor layer (204), having an anode and a cathode, is provided on the resistor layer and defines a heater length.

- A first protective layer (208) made of SiN and having a thickness of 1000 angstroms, functions as a passivation layer (col. 4:25-26).

- A third protection layer (210) made of Ta and having a thickness of 100 angstroms, functions as a cavitation layer (col. 6:12).

Thus, the thickness of the resistor and the protective layer equals 1110, which is about 1000 angstroms. Ikeda is silent with regard to the area of the heater

Ikeda et al. teaches the claimed invention with the exception of the heater having an area of from about 50 to about 100 sq. microns, about 100 to about 150 sq. microns about 150 to about 200 sq. microns, about 200 to about 250 sq. microns, about 250 to about 300 sq. microns, about 300 sq. microns, about 300 sq. microns, about 350 sq. microns, and about 350 to about 400 sq. microns.

Yaegashi teaches that is notoriously old and well known in the ink jet that a heater having an area of 100 to 30,000 sq. microns is a suitable size for providing good discharge characteristics (col. 12:40-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ink jet art at the time the invention was made to have provided lkeda et al. with a heating resistor with an area of about 50 to about 100 sq. microns, about 100 to about 150 sq. microns and about 150 to about 200 sq. microns, for the purpose of providing good discharge characteristics, as taught by Yaegashi.

Claims 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al. (4,567,493) in view of Eida et al. (4,338,611).

Art Unit: 2853

Ikeda teaches the claimed invention, as above, with the exception of a heating resistor with an area of about 200 to about 250 sq. microns, about 250 to about 300 sq. microns, about 300 to about 350 sq. microns, and about 350 to about 400 sq. microns.

Eida et al. teaches an ink jet print head having a heater made of HfB₂ and an area that ranges from 250 sq. microns (Table 1, example 5) to 400 sq. microns (see Table 1, example 11). Using a heater with this area provides the advantages of high frequency recording, stability of the discharging direction and prevention of satellite drops (col. 6:33-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ink jet art at the time the invention was made to have provided lkeda et al. with a heating resistor with an area of 250 to 400 sq. microns, for the purpose of improving recording frequency, improving the stability of the discharge direction and preventing satellite drops, as taught by Eida et al.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al. (4,567,493) in view of Yaegashi et al. (5,270,370), as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, 21, 24 above, and further in view of Hanson (4,635,073).

Ikeda et al., as modified, teaches the claimed invention with the exception of a TAB circuit electrically connected to a bond pad on the heater chip.

Hanson teaches (Fig. 1a) a TAB circuit (16) which is electrically connected to the bond pads of the heater chip in order to supply an electric signal. The use of the TAB circuit allows for the size of the substrate to be reduced (col. 1:40-46).

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ink jet art at the time the invention was made to have provided Ikeda et al., as modified, with a TAB circuit for the purpose of reducing the size of the substrate, as taught by Hanson.

Page 5

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 and 9-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/620,197 in view of Ikeda et al. (4,567,493).

Claims 1 and 6 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer.

Claim 2 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16 and 17 of '197.

Claim 3, of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17 and 18 of '197.

Claim 4 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16 and 12 of '197.

Art Unit: 2853

Claim 5 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of the thermal barrier layer thickness being at least 1 micron.

Claim 7 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of the housing comprising a supply of ink and the heater chip having an ink via in fluidic access the supply.

Claim 9 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of a carriage. The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously old and well known in the ink jet art to provide carriage for scanning the print head across a recording medium.

Claim 10 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of the ink supply being locally configured with a housing of the print head.

Claim 11 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15 and 16 '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer.

Claim 12, of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15, 16 and 18 of '197.

Claim 13, of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15, 16 and 14 of '197.

Claim 14, of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15 and 16 '197, with the exception of the cavitation layer being made of Ta.

Claim 15, of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of the cavitation layer being made of hafnium boride.

Claim 16 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13 and 15-17 of '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer.

Art Unit: 2853

Claim 17 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15 and 16 of '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer.

Claim 18 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13 and 15-17 of '197.

Claim 19 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15, 16 and 18 of '197.

Claim 20 of '975 is taught by claims 1-4, 9, 11-13, 15, 16 and 18 '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer being at least 1 micron thick.

Claims 21-23 and 25 of '975 is taught by claims 1-7 of '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer.

Claim 24 '975 is taught by claims 1-7 of '197, with the exception of a thermal barrier layer.

Ikeda teaches a thermal barrier layer having a thickness of 5 microns. This layer is used to control the conduction of heat, generated by the heaters to the substrate. (col. 6:27-42). Ikeda further teaches that it is well known in the ink jet art to provide a housing comprising a supply of ink and the heater chip having an ink via in fluidic access the supply (Fig. 5), in order to provide ink to the heater chip. A heater that is made of hafnium boride is provided and provides excellent ejection properties (col. 6:52-55). Finally, a cavitation made of Ta is provided to enhance liquid resistance and reinforce mechanical strength (col. 5:42-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ink jet art at the time the invention was made to have provided the claims of '975, with a thermal barrier layer, a housing having an ink supply and ink via, a heater made of hafnium boride and a Ta cavitation layer, as taught by Ikeda, for the purposes disclosed above.

Art Unit: 2853

Claim 8 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 16 of copending Application No. 10/620,197 in view of Ikeda et al. (4,567,493) and Hanson (4,635,073).

The claims of '197, as modified, teach the claimed invention, as above, with the exception of a TAB circuit electrically connected to a bond pad on the heater chip.

Hanson teaches (Fig. 1a) a TAB circuit (16) which is electrically connected to the bond pads of the heater chip in order to supply an electric signal. The use of the TAB circuit allows for the size of the substrate to be reduced (col. 1:40-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ink jet art at the time the invention was made to have provided the claims of '197, as modified, with a TAB circuit for the purpose of reducing the size of the substrate, as taught by Hanson.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. Brooke whose telephone number is (571) 272-2142. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 AM-2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2853

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael S. Brooke Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSB 040804